# ΡΤΨ

#### PTW Architects

Peddle Thorp & Walker P/L ABN 23 000 454 624 ACN 000 454 624

Gadigal Country Level 11 88 Phillip Street Sydney NSW Australia 2000

T +61 2 9232 5877 ptw.com.au

Nominated Architects Simon Parsons NSW ARB 6098 Diane Jones NSW ARB 4778 Neša Marojević NSW ARB 11274 26 November 2024

General Manager Lane Cove Council 48 Longueville Rd, Lane Cove, NSW 2066

# Re: Design Statement – DA / SEPP 65 - Design Quality to assist with the Development Application for the proposed residential apartment development at:

Project Name: 3 Holdsworth Avenue, St Leonards Address: 3 Holdsworth Avenue, St Leonards NSW

#### Dear sir,

٠

Pursuant to the provisions of the:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021,
  - o Part 3 Development applications
    - section 29 Residential apartment development

this Design Statement is to assist with the submission of the Development Application (being prepared by others) for the proposed residential apartment development noted above.

We, Neša Marojević, Director and Megumi Sakaguchi, Senior Associate of PTW Architects, verify that to the best of our knowledge, information, and belief, that the design follows:

- a) the direction of the architectural design for the residential apartment development as described in the Statement of Environmental Effects Report (refer to SEE Report Prepared by Willowtree Planning, 26 November 2024) ; and
- b) the development has addressed:
  - i. the design quality principles, as set out in the
    - i. State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 Design Quality of
      - Residential Apartment Development
        - 1. Schedule 1 Design quality principles and
    - the objectives in Part 3 and 4, as set out in the

i. Apartment Design Guide.

The architectural documentation is listed as per attachment.

Yours sincerely,

min / hu

ii.

Neša Marojević Director Nominated Architect NSW Architect No: 11274

DA Drawing List

Attached:

٠

megmi 5

Megumi Sakaguchi Senior Associate Registered Project Architect NSW Architect No:9391

Sydney Beijing Shanghai Hanoi New York

\\sydf4\projects\220\PA030370\_10-12MrshlAveStLeon\Graf\Word\Design Verification letter\_infill Affordable housing.docx

# ΡΤΨ

PTW Architects Peddle Thorp & Walker P/L ABN 23 000 454 624 ACN 000 454 624

Gadigal Country Level 11 88 Phillip Street Sydney NSW Australia 2000

T +61 2 9232 5877 ptw.com.au

Nominated Architects Simon Parsons NSW ARB 6098 Diane Jones NSW ARB 4778 Neša Marojević NSW ARB 11274

#### Drawing List

No.	TITLE	REV.
DA-00-0000	COVER	G
DA-00-0001	SITE ANALYSIS PLAN	B
DA-00-0001	DEMOLITION PLAN	B
DA-00-0003	SITE PLAN	E
DA-09-0010	LEVEL B4 PLAN	<u>н</u>
DA-09-0030	LEVEL B3-B2 PLAN	Н
DA-09-0040	LEVEL BJ PLAN	
DA-00-0040	LOWER GROUND PLAN	L
DA-10-0001	UPPER GROUND PLAN	
DA-10-0003	LEVEL 01 PLAN	Н
DA-10-0004	LEVEL 02-03 PLAN	
DA-10-0006	LEVEL 02 03 FLAN	G
DA-10-0007	LEVEL 04 PEAN	G
DA-10-0009	LEVEL 03-00 PLAN	E
DA-10-0005	LEVEL 01-10 FLAN	G
DA-10-0012	LEVEL 15 PLAN	G
DA-10-0013	LEVEL 16 PLAN	F
DA-10-0014	PLANT PLAN	F
DA-10-0015	ROOF PLAN	A
DA-20-0001	NORTH ELEVATION	F
DA-20-0002	SOUTH ELEVATION	G
DA-20-0003	EAST ELEVATION	Н
DA-20-0004	WEST ELEVATION	G
DA-30-0001	SECTION 1	Н
DA-30-0002	SECTION 2	1
DA-30-0003	SECTION 3	1
DA-50-2000	ADAPTABLE/SILVER LIVABLE UNITS	D
DA-50-2100	ADAPTABLE/SILVER LIVABLE UNITS	D
DA-50-2200	ADAPTABLE/SILVER LIVABLE UNITS	C
DA-50-3000	ADG COMPLIANCE DIAGRAM	G
DA-50-4000	LEP HEIGHT PLANE DIAGRAM	D
DA-78-0001	EXTERNAL FINISHES	D
DA-90-0001	GFA PLANS	F
DA-90-0010	DEVELOPMENT DATA	D
DA-90-0011	DEVELOPMENT DATA	A
DA-93-0100	SOLAR ACCESS COMPLIANCE DIAGRAMS	F
DA-94-0100	CROSS VENTILATION COMPLIANCE DIAGRAMS	G
DA-95-0101	SUN EYE VIEWS	F
DA-95-0102	SUN EYE VIEWS	F
DA-96-0001	SHADOW DIAGRAM-9AM 21st JUNE	E
DA-96-0002	SHADOW DIAGRAM-12PM 21st JUNE	E
DA-96-0003	SHADOW DIAGRAM-3PM 21st JUNE	E
DA-97-0001	PERSPECTIVE VIEW 01	С
DA-97-0002	PERSPECTIVE VIEW 02	С

Sydney Beijing Shanghai Hanoi New York



# **SEPP 65 DESIGN REPORT**

# AREA 12

3 HOLDSWORTH AVE ST LEONARDS, NSW 2065 Prepared for New Golden St Leonards Pty Ltd Revised DA Submission November 2024



# INFORMATION

#### PREPARED FOR:

PREPARED BY:

#### CONSULTANTS:

- PLANNING
- LANDSCAPE ٠
- TRAFFIC



# PTW

- WILLOW TREE PLANNING
  - STUDIO IZ



#### Peddle Thorp & Walker Pty Ltd

#### Copyright

Historical sources and reference material used in the preparation of this report are acknowledged and referenced at the end of each section and/or in figure captions. Reasonable effort has been made to identify, contact, acknowledge and obtain permission to use material from the relevant copyright owners. Unless otherwise specified or agreed, copyright in this report vests in PTW Architects and in the owners of any pre-existing historic source or reference material.

#### Moral Rights

Copyright in this report vests in Peddle Thorp & Walker Pty Ltd in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968. Except to the extent otherwise expressly stated, each author of this work asserts his or her moral rights in the work.

#### Right to Use

Grant of license: PTW grants to the client for this project (and the client's successors in title) an irrevocable, royalty-free right to reproduce or use the material from this work for the purposes of the project only, except where such use infringes the moral rights of authors of this work or any intellectual property rights of a third party.

#### Report Register

The following report register documents the development and issue of this report by PTW Architects.

#### Version Control

ISSUED	REVISION	DESCRIPTION
12.2021	01	SEPP 65 Design St
06.2022	02	SEPP 65 Design St
10.2024	03	SEPP 65 Design St

Statement tatement Statement

ISSUED BY PTW Architects **PTW Architects PTW Architects** 

Gadigal Country Level 11 88 Phillip Street Sydney NSW 2000 Australia T +61 2 9232 5877 ptw.com.au

Peddle Thorp & Walker Pty Ltd ABN 23 000 454 624 Trading as PTW Architects

NSW Nominated Architects S Parsons Architect No.6098 D Jones Architect No. 4778 N Marojevic No. 11274



# CONTENT





05

#### SEPP65 DESIGN QUALITY PRINCIPLES



- PRINCIPLE 2
- PRINCIPLE 3
- PRINCIPLE 4
- PRINCIPLE 5 PRINCIPLE 6
- PRINCIPLE 7 •
- PRINCIPLE 8
- PRINCIPLE 9

SCHEDULE

03

DEVELOPMENT DATA

#### ADG COMPLIANCE TABLE



• FROM 15-25 MARSHALL AVE

PTW ARCHITECTS | 3 Holdsworth Avenue | NEW GOLDEN ST LEONARDS PTY LTD

# **O INTRODUCTION**



#### SYDNEY CBD

# NORTH SYDNEY



rwood

This design report prepared by PTW Architects presents the architectural concept and design principles for the Proposal.

#### "A CHANGING AREA WELL SERVED BY NEW AND OLD INFRASTRUCTURE"



# INTRODUCTION **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

New Golden St Leonards Pty Ltd (the client) is submitting a Design Application of a residential development, 3 Holdsworth Avenue St Leonards (The Proposal), comprising of:

- 16-storey residential building containing 120 apartments
- 4-storey basement car parking
- Provision of 400m<sup>2</sup> public open space
- Creation of green spine communal open space on ground level

The proposal presents a high-quality residential development in a liveable and connected precinct, to utilise the nearby amenity of St Leonards and Metro Stations and future St Leonards Plaza.



# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 1. CONTEXT & NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER** - SITE LOCATION

#### "A CHANGING AREA WELL SERVED BY NEW AND EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE"

The Site is in proximity of 450m or a 6 minute walk from the St Leonards Station and the future St Leonards Plaza, a major public urban park that complements the St Leonards South precinct's corner.

The site (Lot 8 DP1275969) is at the corner of Marshall Avenue and Holdsworth Ave.



LOCATION PLAN

NTS

Site

Main Road

Railway Track



"Good design responds and contributes to its context. Context can be defined as the key natural and built features of an area. Responding to context involves identifying the desirable elements of a location's current character or, in the case of precincts undergoing a transition, the desired future character as stated in planning and design policies. New buildings will thereby contribute to the quality and identity of the area."

The site has a combined area of 2,631m<sup>2</sup> and is subject to the provisions of the Lane Cove LEP 2009, St Leonards South DCP 2010 and St Leonards South Landscape Master Plan 2020.

SOURCE: Six Map

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 1. CONTEXT & NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER** - SITE ANALYSIS

#### "AN IMPORTANT GATEWAY SITE CLOSE TO NEIGHBOURHOOD AMENITIES"



The site is on 3 Holdsworth Ave, bounded by Marshall Ave to the north, Holdsworth Ave to the east, single-dwelling houses to the west and south.

The desired future character of the St Leonards South Precinct is to be a livable, walkable, connected, and safe precinct that builds upon the transit and land-use opportunities provided by the St Leonards and Metro Stations, as well as the commercial centre.

All new developments will achieve design excellence, as well as providing suitable transition and interfaces to adjoining zones and open space.

The St Leonards South Precinct incorporates accessible, well designed public open space networks and a variety of recreational spaces. This proposal is actively responding to the planning objectives and future desired characters of St Leonard South including:

- A podium scale that is in relation to human scale



• The selection of podium facade materials that are reminiscent of the surrounding existing masonry houses.

• A tower facade design that has a sleek and contemporary expression, complementing the future character of the SLSDCP.

## **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 1. CONTEXT & NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER** - ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS



"A SITE WITH GOOD SOLAR ACCESS, VIEWS AND BREEZES. AN AREA KNOWN FOR FINELY CRAFTED HISTORIC HOUSES"



Brick Houses





*"SHAPED AND INSPIRED BY THE* NATURE AND ITS SURROUNDING NEIGHBOURHOOD"



Street View 3

Site Significant Trees

Street View 1



Street View 2

## **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 1. CONTEXT & NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER** - LEP CONTROLS



Incentive Height Of Buildings Map Sheet IHOB 004

Site





Incentive Floor Space Ratio Map Sheet IFSR 004

#### Site

#### Maximum Floor Space Ratio (n:1)



FSR of 3.45:1

area.

reforms include:

The following summarises the planning controls for the proposed site. This information is taken from the Lane Cove Council Local Environment Plan [LCLEP] 2009 and LCLEP 2009 Amendment No 25.

- Land Use Zoning R4 High Density Residential
- Height of Buildings
- The site is subject to a maximum height of 2.5m and 44m- Refer to LEP Incentive Height of Buildings map
- Floor Space Ratio
- Heritage
- The site is not classified as an item of heritage significance, nor is it within proximity to any items of heritage significance or conservation
- New Provision- Area 12
- The site as a "key site" and is known as "Area 12" which is required to have a minimum site area of 2,500 m<sup>2</sup>. Additionally, a minimum 400m<sup>2</sup> of recreation area and a minimum of two (2) dwellings for affordable housing purposes is also required.
- SEPP Housing 2021- In-fill Affordable Housing
- On 14 December 2023, the NSW Government implemented infill affordable housing reforms to encourage private developers to boost affordable housing and deliver more market housing. The
- A floor space ratio (FSR) bonus of 20-30% and a height bonus of 20-30% for projects that include at least 10-15% of gross floor area (GFA) as affordable housing. The height bonus only applies to residential flat building and shop-top housing. The FSR and height bonuses are proportional to the affordable housing component.
- The accessible area definition has been amended to increase the walking distance from a light rail station from 400 m to 800 m and include metro stations.

#### \* Apply In-fill Affordable Housing scheme

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 1. CONTEXT & NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER**



Communal Open Spaces (Green Spines)



Figure 8.7- Number of Affordable Housing Dwelling to be provided by each Area





1 Number of Affordable Housing Dwellings New Open Space



Figure 8.9- Building Setbacks / Building Depth



- St Leonards LGA
- 4M Setback at street level



4

Figure 8.10- Height of Buildings (in storeys)



Number of Storevs 1 New Open Space

The following summarises the planning cor taken from the St Leonards South Developm Control Plan [SLSDCP].

- A green spine is identified along the boundary of the subject Site
- New Provision- Area 12
- Minimum site area of 2,500 m<sup>2</sup> a)
- b) Approximately 400m<sup>2</sup> of public of space, embellished in accordance with "Specifications for Public Open Space in St Leonards South Precinct" and dedicate Council in perpetuity (Marshall Avenue);
- c) Design Excellence is achieved in accorda with LEP Clause 7.6, including the Maxim Height of Buildings (in storeys);
- d) 2 affordable housing dwellings dedica to Council in perpetuity. Each dwelling s comprise a minimum of 2 bedrooms with internal area of at least 70 sqm (plus stora and one car space, in accordance with "Specifications for Affordable Housing in the Leonards South Precinct"; (Fig. 8.7)
- e) Provision of appropriate building setba to facilitate shared communal open sp between buildings (Green Spines) embellis in accordance with the "Specifications Private Open Space in the St Leonards Sc Precinct" with a positive covenant gran shared access in accordance with Section of the Conveyancing Act 1919;
- f) A dwelling mix comprising a minimum One Bedroom and Studio dwellings, Two Bedroom dwellings and 20% 3 or m dwellings; and
- g) Amalgamation of lots to prevent fragmentation or isolation of land.

- SLSDCP2020 CONTROLS

ntrol nent	• Minimum 6 star rating under the NatHERS by a qualified person.
nent	<ul> <li>The site is earmarked for a maximum of 10 and 12 storeys. [Fig. 8.10]</li> </ul>
roor	<ul> <li>Front Building Setbacks A [Fig 8.9]</li> </ul>
rear	a] 4m at street level;
	b] +3m at and above Level 6; c] Min.12m setback to rear boundary;
	d] Maximum depth 18-22m
	• Maximum building length shall not be greater than 35m unless strongly articulated.
open	• Intrusions into deep-soil Green Spine areas
the	shall only be considered after two levels of
the	basement parking has been provided under the building footprint.
ed to	<ul> <li>Front setbacks to be deep soil and to be treated</li> </ul>
	as front gardens to GF units (or basements
ance	units).
num	Private courtyards may extend a maximum of 1
ated	metre into Green Spines.
shall	
h an	
rage)	
the	
he St	
acks	
pace	
shed	
for	
outh	
nting	
88E	
20%	
20%	
nore	
the	

#### \* Apply In-fill Affordable Housing scheme

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 1. CONTEXT & NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTER**



#### - DCP AND LEP ENVELOPE

	L16	I !
	L15	
Y	L14	
	L13	
	L12	
	L11	
	L10	
	L9	
	L8	
	L7	
	L6	
	L5	İ
	L4	i i
	L3	PUBLIC OPEN
	L2	SPACE
	L1	
	UG*	
	LG*	
	B1	
	B2	
	В3	
	B4	

\*Part Storey

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 2. BUILT FORM & SCALE** - MASSING STUDY



buildings.

Good design also achieves an appropriate built form for a site and the building's purpose, in terms of building alignments, proportions, building type and the manipulation of building elements. Appropriate built form defines the public domain, contributes to the character of streetscapes and parks, including their views and vistas, and provides internal amenity and outlook."

This proposal responds in proportion and scale to its urban context as a multi residential development. It is expected that the building heights of the surrounding area will further increase, to provide additional housing stock. The development does not seek to exceed the maximum allowable GFA and height.

"Good design achieves a scale, bulk and height appropriate to the existing or desired future character of the street and surrounding

• Fully compliant with LEP controls in setback and building height • Distinct expression of podium and tower component • 6m (w) x 3m (d) indentation on the eastern facade improves breaking down the massing both in podium and tower.

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES** PRINCIPLE 2. BUILT FORM & SCALE - BUILDING STOREYS



Section Diagram-1

\*Part Storey

Section Diagram- 2

\*Part Storey

The proposed massing is fully compliant with LEP Infill Affordable Housing height controls which is 57.2m from natural ground.

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES** PRINCIPLE 2. BUILT FORM & SCALE - SHADOW DIAGRAMS



#### June 21st 9am

• There will be no additional shadows cast onto neighbouring properties.



June 21st 12pm

• There will be minor additional shadows cast onto neighbouring properties.



June 21st 3pm

• There will be minor additional shadows cast onto neighbouring properties.

APPROVED S4.55(4) 

- PROPOSED ENVELOPE
- SITE BOUNDARY

• As shown in the diagrams, only minor additional shadows will be cast onto neighboring properties or public spaces. Most of the additional shadow falls within areas already affected by shadows from the approved surrounding building envelope.

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 2. BUILT FORM & SCALE**



Building Height & Separation on southern facade

ADG SEPARATION - NON HABITABLE

- GREEN SPINE CONNECTION
  - ADG STOREY COUNT



#### Southern Elevation

- Full glazing on level 01
- 1.8m privacy fence on LO1
- High level glazing on level 02-11
- Privacy screen on balcony on level 02-14
- Full glazing on level 16 (no privacy impact,
- due to neighbour's building height constraint)



- BUILDING SEPARATION

# **RFI RESPONSE**

PTW ARCHITECTS | 3 Holdsworth Avenue | NEW GOLDEN ST LEONARDS PTY LTD



# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES** PRINCIPLE 2. BUILT FORM & SCALE

• The use of brick in the 5-storey podium is reminiscent of the existing masonry houses in the surrounding area.

• The facade articulation, with a 6m (w) x 3m (d) indentation on the eastern side, promotes opportunities for natural daylight to the common lobby and increases the likelihood of social interaction between residents. This incidental space also allows legible way finding to the building entry and generous ground lobby space addressing to the street.

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 2. BUILT FORM & SCALE



**U**2

• An additional recess on Level 4, with landscaping on the western façade, provides a distinct delineation between the podium and tower components, while also enhancing the building's appearance with a "greener" aesthetic.

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 3. DENSITY





Site

St Leonards South DCP Area Г

"Good design has a density appropriate for a site and its context, in terms of floor space yields (or number of units or residents). Appropriate densities are sustainable and consistent with the existing density in an area or, in precincts undergoing a transition, are consistent with the stated desired future density. Sustainable densities respond to the regional context, Availability of infrastructure, public transport, community facilities and environmental quality."

())

This proposed development complies with LEP & DCP controls:

• 400m<sup>2</sup> public open space at the North • 12m wide "green spine" at the West • FSR: 4.485:1 • 120 apartments with 24.2% 1 bed 42.5% 2 bed 25.8% 3 bed 7.5% 4 bed

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 4. SUSTAINABILITY



# 02

"Good design makes efficient use of natural resources, energy and water throughout its full life cycle, including construction. Sustainability is integral to the design process. Aspects Include demolition of existing structures, recycling of materials, selection of appropriate and sustainable materials, adaptability and reuse of buildings, layouts and built form, passive solar design principles, efficient appliances and mechanical services, soil zones for vegetation and reuse of water."

A high level of amenity is achieved throughout the project with sufficient cross ventilation and maximised solar access. The northern apartments have also achieved an increased natural daylight from careful apartment planning (shallow depth) and feature stepped facade design.

Other sustainable approach includes recycling existing sandstone retaining wall to the stepped terrace in the public open space. Solar panels are also provided on the roof as alternative energy supply.

A 550m<sup>2</sup> deep soil zone (min. 6m width) is provided throughout the whole site, which is 20.9% much higher than the 15% suggested.

Further subject to the DEP comments, a 135m<sup>2</sup> deep soil zone is provided under the communal open space, which is 20% of the total 680m<sup>2</sup> "green spine" area. A minimum 1.2m (depth) deep soil zone is also provided throughout the rest of the "green spine" above basement parking.



# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 4. SUSTAINABILITY

02

• Retaining existing sandstone walls to the stepped terrace in public open space.

PTW ARCHITECTS | 3 Holdsworth Avenue | NEW GOLDEN ST LEONARDS PTY LTD



Public Pedestrian Links

management."

lobby.

"Good design recognises that together landscape and buildings operate as an integrated and sustainable system, resulting in greater aesthetic quality and amenity for both occupants and the adjoining public domain. Landscape design builds on the existing site's natural and cultural features in responsible and creative ways. It enhances the development's natural environmental performance by coordinating water and soil management, solar access, microclimate, tree canopy and habitat values. It contributes to the positive image and contextual fit of development through respect for streetscape and neighbourhood character, or desired future character. Landscape design should optimise useability, privacy and social opportunity, equitable access and respect for neighbours' amenity, and provide for practical establishment and long term

The public open space will be provided with an improved permeable and leafy link that connects Marshall Ave and Holdworth Ave. Most existing significant trees will be retained and street level planting along Holdsworth Ave will be provided to replace the existing hard-edge retaining wall. Existing sandstone retaining walls will be recycled and reused in the public open space.

Green spine area can be accessed from Marshall Ave and level 1 lift

• Existing 2 significant trees to be retained • Existing sandstone wall to be retained

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES** PRINCIPLE 5. LANDSCAPE - COORDINATE GREEN SPINE DESIGN AND LEVELS WITH ADJACENT SITE





STSDCP 2020 Extract

STSDCP 2020 Extract

pocket park	
gated access	
walkway	
picnic/bbq area	
private terraces	
feature tree	
ramp & stair access	
seating around existing to	ree



02

• Proposed 'green spine' level (RL 76.50) is in line with STSDCP masterplan indicated on Area 12

• Landscape design in collaboration with the neighbouring site (Area 13) to provide access to share the communal open space.

PTW ARCHITECTS | 3 Holdsworth Avenue | NEW GOLDEN ST LEONARDS PTY LTD



Section A



Lobby access





1



2

1. Shade sails 2. EPDM rubber softfall mounds 3. Log Steppers 4. Grass Sheep



Rooftop communal garden provided on level 15 of the proposed building complies with both the required and desirable facilities in the St Leonards South Landscape Masterplan 2020.

Amenities on the roof level include children's play areas, BBQ facilities, picnic tables, shade sails, featured planting, open lawns and seating for the residents.











4

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 6. AMENITY



View Corridor Lift Lobby

"Courtyards or building indentations have a width to depth ratio of 2:1 or 3:1 to ensure effective air circulation and avoid trapped smells"



Level 02 Plan Diagram



Level 06 Plan Diagram

"Good design provides amenity through the physical, spatial and environmental quality of a development. Optimising amenity requires appropriate room dimensions and shapes, access to sunlight, natural ventilation, visual and acoustic privacy, storage, indoor and outdoor space, efficient layouts and service areas, outlook and ease of access for all age groups and degrees of mobility."

The proposed building has a high level of residential amenity. Each apartment layout will foster strong interaction with the outdoors and take advantage of significant city views in upper levels. The apartments have a good level of solar access with natural cross ventilation opportunity.

The apartments can fulfil the requirements of the ADG in relation to storage. At least 50% of the storage will be located within each units, with the remainder provided in the basement. The proposal exceeds the requirements for open space as set out in ADG. Public and communal open spaces are provided on LO1 and L15.

Common lobby and circulation space has natural light and ventilation or all levels through the eastern or southern openings and seating space will be provided as well. On ground communal open space, there will be shared BBQ facilities provided to residents on site.

\*NOTE: SLR Consulting had prepared and submitted wind report ( 610.30393.00000-R02-v2.0) and CFD model on November 26th to DEP in response to comments regarding to natural cross ventilation. In the minutes issued by DEP on October 11th, it is further recommended that the *"slot"* needs to follow ADG Part 4B-2 (*having a width to depth ratio of 2:1 or 3:1*) to enable east facing apartments adjacent to it to satisfy natural cross ventilation requirements.

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 6. AMENITY - SOLAR COMPLIANCE DIAGRAMS



30

90

120

<2HRS

>2HRS

TOTAL

OF	
<b>VENTS</b>	%
	0.0%
	25.0%
	75.0%
	100.0%

# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 6. AMENITY** - CROSS VENTILATION COMPLIANCE DIAGRAMS



CROSS VENTILATION	NO. OF APARTMENTS	%
NO	26	38.8%

YES 41 TOTAL 67

38.8%
61.2%
100.0%

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 7 & 8





#### 7. SAFETY

"Good design optimises safety and security, both internal to the development and for the public domain. This is achieved by maximising overlooking of public and communal spaces while maintaining internal privacy, avoiding dark and non-visible areas, maximising activity on streets, providing clear, safe access points, providing quality public spaces that cater for desired recreational uses, providing lighting appropriate to the location and desired activities, and clear definition between public and private spaces."

The public pedestrian through-site link will provide 24-hour access and will be a well-lit, landscaped area. The building above will offer excellent passive visual surveillance of the public domain. Safe access points to each residential lobby are provided from both the link and the street.

The proposed development will ensure excellent passive surveillance. The stepped public open space is well integrated with the existing footpath level, allowing for passive surveillance by future residents. The communal open space within the green spine will be protected by a security fence and gate, separating it from the public open space.

A single point of vehicular access is located off Holdsworth Ave for both residential and loading uses.

- appropriate access for persons with disabilities.

#### 8. HOUSING DIVERSITY & SOCIAL INTERACTION

"Good design responds to the social context and needs of the local community in terms of lifestyles, affordability, and access to social facilities. New developments should optimise the provision of housing to suit the social mix and needs in the neighbourhood or, in the case of precincts undergoing transition, provide for the desired future community. New developments should address housing affordability by optimising the provision of economic housing choices and providing a mix of housing types to cater for different budgets and housing needs."

The apartment mix is varied, ensuring that the apartments are suitable for a range of household types.

- 20%
- 20%
- 80%
- 15% of total GFA
- 2 units

Adaptable apartments Silver Livable apartments Visitable apartments SEPP Affordable apartments LCC Affordable apartments

The site is pedestrian friendly and all public and shared areas are accessible to those with disabilities.

# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 8. HOUSING DIVERSITY & SOCIAL INTERACTION



# **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 9. AESTHETICS** - FACADE DETAILS





"Quality aesthetics require the appropriate composition of building elements, textures, materials and colours and reflect the use, internal design and structure of the development. Aesthetics should respond to the environment and context, particularly to desirable elements of the existing streetscape or, in precincts undergoing transition, contribute to the desired future character of the area."

The site is an important and visually prominent location to St Leonards South Precinct, as well as being proximate to the St Leonards Station and future Plaza. The tower is designed in response to the significance of the corners and the stepped potential of the 'gateway' location. The podium is designed to provide an interface between the contemporary, stepped form of the tower and an attractive, accessible public domain on the northern end of the site. The facades employ articulation providing excellent scaling devices. The built form is articulated as two distinct elements;

Balustrade

Colorbond Cladding Wall

Brick Portal Frame/

Aluminium Balustrade



Podium

• The low-level podium which in its scale, materiality and detailing reflects the richness of its historical context.

• The tower form is designed in response to its role as a highly visible element at the entry to St Leonards South.

## **SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 9. AESTHETICS** - MATERIAL BOARD















# 10



12



08/09/15

#### **EXTERNAL FINISHES**

- 01 BRICK
- COLORBOND (DARK GREY) 02
- 03 BALUSTRADE (CLEAR GLASS)
- 04 BALUSTRADE (DARK GREY)
- 05 CONCRETE/CFC PANEL PAINT FINISH (DARK BLUE)
- 06 CONCRETE/CFC PANEL PAINT FINISH (LIGHT GREY)
- 07 ALUMINIUM FENCE (DARK GREY)
- 08 ALUMINIUM LOUVRE (DARK GREY)
- 09 ALUMINIUM WINDOW FRAME (DARK GREY)
- 10 SANDSTONE CLADDING
- 11 PERFORATED MESH SCREEN
- [12] CONCRETE/CFC PANEL PAINT FINISH (DARK BROWN)
- 13 ALUMINIUM MECHANICAL LOUVRE
- 14 ALUMINIUM SLOTS (TIMBER LOOK)
- 15 ALUMINIUM SLOTS (DARK GREY)
- 16 BALUSTRADE (FROSTED GLASS)

#### PRODUCT (DESIGN INTENT)

GIBSON (PGH BRICK) DEEP OCEAN (COLORBOND)

-

\_

\_

MONUMENT (INTERPON) COMPANION (DULUX) PALE GREY (DULUX) MONUMENT (INTERPON) MONUMENT (INTERPON) MONUMENT (INTERPON)

CHAMPAGNE SIMMER (INTERPON) BEGGAR (DULUX) MONUMENT (INTERPON) PREMIUM OAK (INNOWOOD) MONUMENT (INTERPON)



07





16



# SEPP 65 DESIGN PRINCIPLES PRINCIPLE 9. AESTHETICS 02

#### PTW ARCHITECTS | 3 Holdsworth Avenue | NEW GOLDEN ST LEONARDS PTY LTD


PTW ARCHITECTS | 3 Holdsworth Avenue | NEW GOLDEN ST LEONARDS PTY LTD



	Apartment Number			ADG	i Compliance	2	DDA Compliance			SEPP Affordable house						
Level	1B	2B	3B	4B	TOTAL	CV	SA	N-SA	SILVER	VIS.	ADP.	1B	2B	3B	4B	TOTAL
Level 16	0	1	4	0	5		5	0		2		0	0	0	0	0
Level 15	0	1	2	0	3		3	0		2		0	0	0	0	0
Level 14	0	2	3	1	6		6	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 13	0	2	3	1	6		6	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 12	0	2	3	1	6		6	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 11	0	2	3	1	6		6	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 10	2	2	2	1	7		7	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 09	2	2	2	1	7		7	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 08	2	2	2	1	7		6	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 07	2	2	2	1	7	4	6	0	1	6	1	1	0	0	0	1
Level 06	2	5	1	0	8	6	5	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 05	2	5	1	0	8	6	5	0	1	6	1	0	2	1	0	3
Level 04	1	3	3	0	7	5	5	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Level 03	6	5	0	0	11	6	6	0	3	10	3	3	3	0	0	6
Level 02	6	5	0	0	11	6	6	0	3	10	3	2	4	0	0	6
Level 01	1	7	0	1	9	6	5	0	3	7	3	0	3	0	0	3
Level UG	1	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	3	3	1	0	0	0	1
Level LG	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	2
Total	29	51	31	9	120	41	90	0	24	96	24	9	12	1	0	22
%	24.2%	42.5%	25.8%	7.5%		61.2%	75.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	20.0%	7.5%	10.0%	0.8%	0.0%	18.3%

## SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT DATA



### PTW

PTW Architects Peddle Thorp & Walker P/L ABN 23 000 454 624

Level 11 88 Phillip Street Sydney NSW Australia 2000

T +61 2 9232 5877 F +61 2 9221 4139 ptw.com.au

Document Project Project Number Client Stage Date

SEPP 65 Assessment Report -Apartment Design Guide (ADG) compliance 3 Holdsworth Avenue, St Leonards PA030370 New Golden St Leonards Pty Ltd Section Revised DA Application 5/11/2024

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA	PROPOSED	COMMENT
Part 3 Siting the	Development			
Site Analysis	Objective 3A-1 Site analysis illustrates that design of of the site conditions and their relationship to the su	lecisions have been based on opportunities and constraints irrounding context	✓	-Addresses Lane Cove LEP 2009, Lane Cove DCP 2010 part C8 Residential Localities, Landscape Master Plan Draft SLS Section 7.11 Contributions Plan and SEPP Housing 2021 -solar/view opportunity and relationship with adjacent sites
Orientation	Objective 3B-1 Building types and layouts respond within the development	to the streetscape and site while optimising solar access	~	The configured residential component has achieved adequate solar access and maintain to distant views
	Objective 3B-2 Overshadowing of neighbouring pro	operties is minimised during mid winter	✓	The proposed minimises the overshadow impact to south neighbouring properties by coordinating with adjacent site the location of habitable rooms
Public Domain Interface	Objective 3C-1 Transition between private and public security	ic domain is achieved without compromising safety and	✓	-Provide fence and planting between public open space between communal open space.
	Objective 3C-2 Amenity of the public domain is reta	ined and enhanced	✓	The existing sandstone wall and stair will be retained in public open space. Provide seating and flat surface turf area. Public art will be cooperated.
Communal and Public Open Space	Objective 3D-1 An adequate area of communal open space is provided to enhance residential amenity and to provide opportunities for landscaping	<ol> <li>Communal open space has a minimum area equal to 25% of the site (see figure 3D.3)</li> <li>Developments achieve a minimum of 50% direct sunlight to the principal usable part of the communal open space for a minimum of 2 hours between 9 am and 3 pm on 21 June (mid-winter)</li> </ol>	~	Provided 33.4% of C.O.S .(Green Spine and Communal Roof Garden) Achieved 54.0% of 2 hours direct sunlight in mid Winter

### ADG COMPLIANCE ADG COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA			PROPOSED	COMMENT	
	Objective 3D-2 Communal open space is designed and be attractive and inviting		vities, respond	to site conditions	~	Green Spine communal open space has BBQ /seating facilities Provide pathway link to Area 13 Green Spine L15 Communal roof garden has BBQ/seating facilities	
	Objective 3D-3 Communal open space is designed	to maximise safety	~	Controlled access to the residents of Area 12-15 and their guest only to C.O.S in Green Spine			
	Objective 3D-4 Public open space, where provided, neighbourhood	is responsive to the existir	uses of the	~	Retain existing historic elements ( sandstone wall. Significant trees) in Public Open Space		
Deep Soil Zones	Objective 3E-1 Deep soil zones provide areas on the site that allow for and support healthy plant and	Deep soil zones are to me requirements:	eet the followin	g minimum	✓	Provided 20.9% of Deep Soil area greater than 6m width	
	tree growth. They improve residential amenity and promote management of water and air quality	Site Area	Min. Dimension ns	Deep soil zone (% of site area)			
		Less than 650m <sup>2</sup>	-				
		650m <sup>2</sup> - 1500m <sup>2</sup>	3m				
		Greater than 1500m <sup>2</sup>	6m	7%			
		Greater than 1500m <sup>2</sup> with significant tree cover	6m				
Visual Privacy	Objective 3F-1 Adequate building separation distances are shared equitably between neighbouring sites, to achieve reasonable levels of external and internal visual privacy	Acceptable on merit	-Proposed separation between Area 13(Western boundary) meets minimum separation requirement between habitable room to habitable room for all levels				
	Note: Separation distances between	Building height	Non-		-Proposed separation between Area 14(Southern boundary) meet		
	buildings on the same site should combine required building separations		rooms and balconies	habitable rooms	separation between habitable to habitable room in L1 with 1 fence in terrace -Proposed separation betwee 14(Southern boundary) meet separation between non-habi room to non- habitable room		
	depending on the type of room	Up to 12m (4 storeys)	6m	3m			
		Up to 25m (5-8 storeys)	9m	4.5m			
		Over 25m (9+ storeys)	12m	6m		L14 Habitable room in L2-L14 have secondly/high window and fixed privacy screen in balcony along southern elevation.	
	Objective 3F-2 Site and building design elements ind and balance outlook and views from habitable room		npromising acc	cess to light and air	~	Provide high windows and privacy screen in southern façade to avoid overlooking from Area 13 northern elevation.	
Pedestrian Access and Entries	Objective 3G-1 Building entries and pedestrian acce	ss connects to and addre	sses the public	domain	~	The building entrance lobbies connect to footpath along Holdsworth Avenue	
	Objective 3G-2 Access, entries and pathways are ac	Objective 3G-2 Access, entries and pathways are accessible and easy to identify					
	Objective 3G-3 Large sites provide pedestrian links f	or access to streets and c	onnection to d	estinations	~	Provide lift access from Green spine communal Open space. This pedestrian link connects to Marshall Avenue.	

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA	PROPOSED	COMMENT
/ehicle Access	Objective 3H-1 Vehicle access points are designed pedestrians and vehicles and create high quality stre	and located to achieve safety, minimise conflicts between eetscapes	~	Driveway entry points are away from Public Open Space and Pedestrian entry to lobby
Bicycle and Car Parking	Objective 3J-1 Car parking is provided based on proximity to public transport in metropolitan Sydney and centres in regional areas	<ul> <li>For development in the following locations:</li> <li>on sites that are within 800 metres of a railway station or light rail stop in the Sydney Metropolitan Area; or</li> <li>on land zoned, and sites within 400 metres of land zoned, B3 Commercial Core, B4 Mixed Use or equivalent in a nominated regional centre</li> <li>the minimum car parking requirement for residents and visitors is set out in the Guide to Traffic Generating</li> <li>Developments, or the car parking requirement prescribed by the relevant council, whichever is less</li> <li>The car parking needs for a development must be provided off street.</li> </ul>	✓	-A total of 146 car parking spaces are proposed, consisting of 122 residential and 24 visitor -Provide min allowed SEPP parking fro residentical -Provide min DCP visitor parking -Provide min DCP bicycle parking Refer to traffic report prepared by MLATP
	Objective 3J-2 Parking and facilities are provided fo	r other modes of transport	<b>~</b>	-Provide undercover visitor bicycle
		·	•	parking -Provide provision of EV charger space
	Objective 3J-3 Car park design and access is safe a	and secure	~	-Clearly identified lift lobby
	Objective 3J-4 Visual and environmental impacts of	underground car parking are minimised	~	-Basement foot print within greens Spine is less than 50% -Provide min 1.2m soil depth above basement parking within Green Spine
	Objective 3J-5 Visual and environmental impacts of	on-grade car parking are minimised	N/A	No on-grade car parking is proposed.
	Objective 3J-6 Visual and environmental impacts of	above ground enclosed car parking are minimised	N/A	No above ground parking is proposed.
Part 4 – Designir	ng the Building			
Solar and Daylight Access	Objective 4A-1 To optimise the number of apartments receiving sunlight to habitable rooms, primary windows and private open space	1. Living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 2 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter in the <u>Sydney Metropolitan Area</u> and in the Newcastle and	•	75.0% of apartments and POS receive 2 hours direct sunlight in mid winter
	private open space	Wollongong local government areas		
		<ul> <li>Wollongong local government areas</li> <li>2. In all other areas, living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 3 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter</li> </ul>	N/A	
		<ol> <li>In all other areas, living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 3 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3</li> </ol>	N/A	There is no apartments receiving no direct sunlight at mid Winter
	Objective 4A-2 Daylight access is maxim	<ul> <li>2. In all other areas, living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 3 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter</li> <li>3. A maximum of 15% of apartments in a building receive no direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter</li> </ul>		

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITER	IA	PROPOSED	COMMENT
Natural Ventilation	Objective 4B-1 All habitable rooms are r	naturally ventilated		✓	All habitable rooms have openable windows or doors
	Objective 4B-2 The layout and design o	f single aspect apar	tments maximises natural ventilation	<b>~</b>	Apartment depths are limited to 8m for open plan layout to maximise airflow
	Objective 4B-3 The number of apartments with natural cross ventilation is maximised to create a comfortable indoor environment for residents	n in the first nine sto Apartments at ter cross ventilated o	n storeys or greater are deemed to be only if any enclosure of the balconies at rs adequate natural ventilation and cannot	~	61.2% of apartments have natural cross ventilation in the first 9 storeys
			of a cross-over or cross- through not exceed 18m, measured glass line to	N/A	There is no cross through apartment
Ceiling Heights	Objective 4C-1 Ceiling height achieves sufficient natural ventilation and daylight access	minimum ceiling	height for apartment and mixed use	~	-min 2.7m for habitable rooms -min 2.4m for non habitable rooms
		Non- Habitable For 2 Storey Apartments	2.4m 2.7m for main living area floor		
			2.4m for second floor, where its area does not exceed 50% of the apartment area		
		Attic Spaces	1.8m at edge of room with a 30 degree minimum ceiling slope		
		If located in mixed use areas	3.3m for ground and first floor to promote future flexibility of use		

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA		PROPOSED	COMMENT
	Objective 4C-2 Ceiling height increases the proportioned rooms	he sense of space in apar	tments and provides for well-	~	The stacking of wet areas minimises bulkheads in habitable rooms
	Objective 4C-3 Ceiling heights contribute	to the flexibility of building	g use over the life of the building	N/A	These areas will not be converted to non-residential uses
Apartment Size and Layout	Objective 4D-1 The layout of rooms within an apartment is functional, well organised and provides a high standard	1. Apartments are requi internal areas:	red to have the following minimum	~	-1B 51m2-77.1m2 -2B 72(1bath)-89.1m2 -3B 99.3-149m2
	of amenity	Apartment Types	Minimum Internal Area		-4B 121.3m2-141.4m2
		Studio	35m <sup>3</sup>		
		1 bedroom	50m³		
		2 bedroom	70m <sup>3</sup>		
		3 bedroom	90m <sup>3</sup>		
		The minimum internal areas include only one bathroom. Additional bathrooms increase the minimum internal area by 5m <sup>2</sup> each. A fourth bedroom and further additional bedrooms increase the minimum internal area by 12m <sup>2</sup> each.			
		2. Every habitable room must have a window in an external wall with a total minimum glass area of not less than 10% of the floor area of the room. Daylight and air may not be borrowed from other rooms		✓	There is no borrowed light to habitable room
	Objective 4D-2 Environmental performance of the apartment is maximised			<b>~</b>	Consistent with ADG requirement
	mainiseu	2. In open plan layouts (where the living, dining and kitchen are combined) the maximum habitable room depth is 8m from a window		~	Consistent with ADG requirement

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA			PROPOSED	COMMENT	
	Objective 4D-3 Apartment layouts are designed to accommodate a variety of household activities and needs		oms have a minimu 9m² (excluding ward		~	Consistent with ADG requirement	
		2. Bedrooms ha wardrobe space		ension of 3m (excluding	~	Consistent with ADG requirement	
			or combined living/o of: and 1 bedroom ap bedroom apartmer		~	Consistent with ADG requirement	
			cross-over or cross-t nally to avoid deep r	through apartments are narrow apartment	N/A	There is no cross through apartment	
Private Open Space and Balconies	Objective 4E-1 Apartments provide appropriately sized private open space and balconies to enhance residential	1. All apartments follows:	s are required to hav	ve primary balconies as	<b>~</b>	Consistent with ADG requirement	
Jacomes	amenity	Dwelling type	Minimum Area	Minimum Depth			
		Studio	4m <sup>3</sup>	-			
		1 bedroom	8m³	2m			
		2 bedroom	10m³	2m			
		3+ bedroom	12m³	2.4m			
		The minimum ba to the balcony a		counted as contributing			
		structure, a priva	ate open space is pr have a minimum ar	r on a podium or similar rovided instead of a ea of 15mª and a	~	Provide more 3m depth terrace in GL east and L01 west	
	Objective 4E-2 Primary private open spa liveability for residents	ace and balconies	are appropriately lo	<b>~</b>	Primary balconies are located adjacent to the living rooms		
	Objective 4E-3 Private open space and overall architectural form and detail of the		integrated into and	contributes to the	<b>~</b>	L04 private open space have plante box which soften the building edge and separate massing	
	Objective 4E-4 Private open space and	balcony design m	aximises safety		<b>~</b>	Min 1.05m balustrade provided for safety	
Common Circulation and Spaces	Objective 4F-1 Common circulation spaces achieve good amenity and	1. The maximun core on a single		ents off a circulation	Acceptable on merit	Max of 11 apartments are off a circulation core. However, provided source of natur light and ventilation from eastern or southern facade.	
	properly service the number of apartments		of 10 storeys and o ments sharing a sin		Acceptable on merit	Max 60 apartments sharing a single lift. However, lift volume, speed and performance has been advised by lift consultant. Details refer to Lift Analysis Report.	
	Objective 4F-2 Common circulation spa between residents	ces promote safe	ty and provide for so	ocial interaction	~	-All lift lobbies allow sunlight access and natural ventilation	

### ADG COMPLIANCE ADG COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA	PROPOSED	COMMENT	
orage	Objective 4G-1 Adequate, well designed storage is provided in each apartment	In addition to storage in I bedrooms, the following		~	Adequate storage space provided to each apartment
		Dwelling Type	Storage size volume		
		Studio	4m³		
		1 bedroom	6m³		
		2 bedroom	8m³		
		3+ bedroom	10m³		
		At least 50% of the requir the apartment	ed storage is to be located within		
	Objective 4G-2 Additional storage is con apartments	veniently located, accessi	ole and nominated for individual	~	Additional cage storage in basement carparks is provided to individual apartments no more than 50% of required storage volume
Acoustic Privacy	Objective 4H-1 Noise transfer is minimise	ed through the siting of bu	ildings and building layout	✓	Adequate building separation provided.
	Objective 4H-2 Noise impacts are mitiga treatments	ted within apartments thro	ugh layout and acoustic	~	Avoid location living room next to different SoU bedroom
Voise and Pollution	Objective 4J-1 In noisy or hostile environ minimised through the careful siting and		rnal noise and pollution are	~	Lower level apartment provides solid spandrel wall to minimize the impact from street noise
	Objective 4J-2 Appropriate noise shielding or attenuation techniques for the building design, construction and choice of materials are used to mitigate noise transmission				Brick portal in podium level acted as noise shielding in lower level apartment
Apartment Mix	Objective 4K-1 A range of apartment typ types now and into the future	es and sizes is provided to	~	A variety of apartment types are provided in 1B,2B and 3B	
	Objective 4K-2 The apartment mix is distributed to suitat			~	Larger apartment types are located on higher floor levels to provide the view opportunity
Ground Floor Apartments	Objective 4L-1 Street frontage activity is	maximised where ground	floor apartments are located	~	3 ground floor apartments are proposed and provide chamber substation instead of kiosk
	Objective 4L-2 Design of ground floor ap	artments delivers amenity	and safety for residents	~	Lower Ground floor apartment has private terrace and privacy screen/planting
Facades Objective 4M-1 Building facades provide character of the local area		visual interest along the s	treet while respecting the	✓	-The building facades of the development contribute to the urban fabric character through the built form, material selection and landscape design. -Well composed tower(vertical fin) and podium( portal frame wall)
	Objective 4M-2 Building functions are ex	pressed by the facade	~	Appropriate window openings and composition reflects true expression of the apartment layout	
Roof Design	Objective 4N-1 Roof treatments are integ street	rated into the building des	sign and positively respond to the	<b>v</b>	L15 has communal roof garden. Top roof has plant space enclosed by slots screen
	Objective 4N-2 Opportunities to use roof maximised	space for residential acco	mmodation and open space are	<b>~</b>	L4 has private terrace with planter boxes L15 roof space is used for communal roof space
	Objective 4N-3 Roof design incorporates	sustainability features		<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Roof space used for solar panels

	OBJECTIVE	DESIGN CRITERIA	PROPOSED	COMMENT
andscape Design	Objective 40-1 Landscape desi	gn is viable and sustainable	×	the sustainable landscape design solutions proposed by Studio IZ
	Objective 40-2 Landscape desi	gn contributes to the streetscape and amenity	~	The proposed landscape design and choice of tree planting and vegetation would have a positive impact upon the locality.
Planting on Structures	Objective 4P-1 Appropriate soil	profiles are provided	~	Appropriate soil volumes are considered for proposed trees as outlined in the Plant Schedule, in the Landscape Concept Plan, prepared by Site Design Studios
	Objective 4P-2 Plant growth is c	ptimised with appropriate selection and maintenance	~	The proposed plant schedule is provided by Studio IZ. Choice on plant type suits St Leonards, Sydney North Shore condition.
	Objective 4P-3 Planting on strue open spaces	tures contributes to the quality and amenity of communal and	public 🖌	Planting on structures would ensure a positive contribution to the streetscape and amenity and ensure min 1.2m soil provided.
Jniversal Design	Objective 4Q-1 Universal design housing for all community mem	n features are included in apartment design to promote flexible pers	~	20% of total apartments incorporated with the silver universal design by access code
	Objective 4Q-2 A variety of apar	tments with adaptable designs are provided	<b>v</b>	20% of total apartments incorporate adaptable design
	Objective 4Q-3 Apartment layou	ts are flexible and accommodate a range of lifestyle needs	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	Larger apartments have various living space options
Adaptive Reuse	Objective 4R-1 New additions to enhance an area's identity and	existing buildings are contemporary and complementary and sense of place	N/A	
	Objective 4R-2 Adapted buildings provide residential amenity while not precluding future adaptive reuse		tive N/A	
Mixed Use	Objective 4S-1 Mixed use devel street frontages that encourage	opments are provided in appropriate locations and provide act pedestrian movement	ive N/A	
	Objective 4S-2 Residential level and amenity is maximised for re	s of the building are integrated within the development, and sa sidents	fety N/A	
Awnings and Bignage	Objective 4T-1 Awnings are wel	located and complement and integrate with the building desig	in 🖌	Entrance lobbies are covered by awnings which is integrated with the podium design
	Objective 4T-2 Signage respon	ds to the context and desired streetscape character	~	Signage wayfinding will be provided at public domain incorporated with landscape design
Energy Efficiency	Objective 4U-1 Development in	corporates passive environmental design	<b>~</b>	Adequate lighting and ventilation to all habitable rooms
	and reduce heat transfer in sur		iter 🗸	-Slab projection are provided -Balcony depth also generally acts as a good shading device ranging in depth from 1 – 2 metres.
	Objective 4U-3 Adequate natura	al ventilation minimises the need for mechanical ventilation	×	Natural ventilation provided for all habitable rooms
Water Management and	Objective 4V-1 Potable water us		<b>~</b>	Rainwater stored and reused for landscape irrigation
Conservation	Objective 4V-2 Urban stormwate	er is treated on site before being discharged to receiving water	s 🗸	Stormwater treatment tanks (OSD) are provided
	Objective 4V-3 Flood managem	ent systems are integrated into site design	<b>~</b>	The site not identified as flood prone by Council

	OBJECTIVE D	ESIGN CRITERIA	PROPOSED	COMMENT
Waste Management	Objective 4W-1 Waste storage facilities are building entry and amenity of residents	designed to minimise impacts on the streetscape,	~	Adequately sized storage areas are provided within the site . Details refer to waste management plan, provided by Elephants Foot in the submission
	Objective 4W-2 Domestic waste is minimise and recycling	d by providing safe and convenient source separation	~	Chutes is provided for the general waste and 2 bins are provided for recycling in each level
Building Maintenance	Objective 4X-1 Building design detail provid	les protection from weathering	~	Long lasting façade materials are selected
	Objective 4X-2 Systems and access enable	ease of maintenance	~	Manually operated blinds system and sunshade to be used
	Objective 4X-3 Material selection reduces o	ngoing maintenance costs	<b>~</b>	Natural materials such as face bricks are used in podium levels

### ADG COMPLIANCE ADG COMPLIANCE SUMMARY





To assess the view impact on 15-25 Marshall Avenue, PTW Architects prepared a simulated 3D computerised model of the area. This view analysis was measured at the eye level (approximately 1.6m above floor) and considered the likely impacts at two floor levels: at level 2 and level 6 of the residential development at 15-25 Marshall Avenue.

This analysis confirms that while some view impact occurs, these are consistent with the impacts identified in the St Leonards South Development Control Plan (SLSDCP) Master Plan and do not affect the predominate view from these levels.

The site will gain uninterrupted views towards the south-west towards Lane Cove River, Huntleys Point and Drummoyne district. The site will also gain views towards the south-east and east towards North Sydney, the City of Sydney and the Harbour.





NTS



This view impact analysis is carried out in responding to council's comment (on April 21st, 2021) regarding the potential impacts to the adjoining northern site, 15-25 Marshall Avenue.

Defining key view lines and lines of view sharing are an important component of the urban design process.



The views are taken from a unit balcony on Level 2 and Level 6 looking south towards the proposed development at 3 Holdsworth Ave, as shown below.





Location Plan

Site

15-25 Marshall Ave



St Leonards South DCP Area

NTS 

() L

The following detailed analysis identifies the height and profiles of the proposed residential towers with respect to the predominate southern view lines from the adjoining residential development at 15-25 Marshall Ave. It is assumed that view sharing can occur.

15-25 Marshall Ave- Typical Floor Plan



SOURCE: Six Map



Study 1: View from 15-25 Marshall Ave\_Level 02 (Approved S4.55(4))



Study 1 identifies the view impact from Level 02 of the residential development at 15-25 Marshall Ave in regard to the Approved S4.55(4) envelope massing. This identifies a view to the CBD skyline through the proposed green spine.

Study 2 identifies the visual impact from Level 02 of the residential development at 15-25 Marshall Ave with slight modification to the envelope as part of the proposal.





Study 2: View from 15-25 Marshall Ave\_Level 02 (Proposed Development)





View from 15-25 Marshall Ave Level 02 to 3 Holdsworth Ave

### RL 84.70 - L02 FLOOR LEVEL RL 86.30 - 1.6M (EYE LEVEL) ABOVE LO2

There is minimal impact to the horizon line and the predominant view to the south as a result of the slight modification to the envelope as part of the design proposal. The view corridor through the green spine between other future masterplan developments of the Approved S4.55(4) and the design proposal remains unchanged.



Study 1: View from 15-25 Marshall Ave\_Level 06(Approved S4.55(4))



Study 2: View from 15-25 Marshall Ave\_Level 06 (Proposed Development)



Study 2 identifies the visual impact from Level 06 of the residential development at 15-25 Marshall Ave with slight modification to the envelope as part of the proposal.

NTS







View from 15-25 Marshall Ave Level 06 to 3 Holdsworth Ave

### RL 97.10 - L06 FLOOR LEVEL RL 98.70 - 1.6M (EYE LEVEL) ABOVE L06

Study 1 identifies the view impact from Level 06 of the residential development at 15-25 Marshall Ave in regard to the Approved S4.55(4) envelope massing. This identifies a view to the CBD skyline through the proposed green spine.

There is minimal impact to the horizon line and the predominant view to the south as a result of the slight modification to the envelope as part of the design proposal. The view corridor through the green spine between other future masterplan developments of the Approved S4.55(4) and the design proposal remains unchanged.



### PTW

### Locations

L V /	Q	- A T	-	V //		
				\'/III		

88 Phillip Street Sydney NSW 2000 Australia T +61 2 9232 5877 F +61 2 9221 4139

info-sydney@ptw.com. au ptw.com.au

New York

115 West 30th Street Suite 901 New York NY 10001 United State

**T** +1 212 414 883

### Beijing

Unit 1706, Zhong Yu Plaza 6 Gongti Bei Road Chaoyang District Beijing 100027 People's Republic o China **T** +86 10 8571 2635 **F** +86 10 8571 2637

#### Shanghai

evel 6, Block A4 600 Guoquan North Rd Shanghai 200438 People's Republic o China T+86 21 61803952

#### Hanoi

Level 30, Handico Tower, Pham Hung Road Nam Tu Liem District, Hanoi 100000, Vietnam **T** +84 4 3974 4252 **F** +84 4 3974 4255

#### **PTW Architects**

Level 11, 88 Phillip St Sydney, NSW 2000 Australia Telephone +61 2 9232 5877 ABN: 23 000 454 624 Nominated Architects S Parsons Architect No.6098 D Jones Architect No. 4778 N Marojevic No. 11274

#### Copyright

Historical sources and reference material used in the preparation of this report are acknowledged and referenced at the end of each section and/or in figure captions. Reasonable effort has been made to identify, contact, acknowledge and obtain permission to use material from the relevant copyright owners.

Unless otherwise specified or agreed, copyright in this report vests in PTW Architects and in the owners of any pre-existing historic source or reference material.

#### **Moral Rights**

Except to the extent otherwise expressly stated, each author of this work asserts his or her moral rights in the work.

### **Right to Use**

PTW grants to the client for this project (and the client's successors in title) an irrevocable, royalty-free right to reproduce or use the material from this work for the purposes of the project only, except where such use infringes the moral rights of authors of this work or any intellectual property rights of a third party.

### **Defined Terms**

In this document:

"intellectual property rights" includes any patent, registered design, trademark or name, copyright or other projected right. "moral rights" has the same meaning as in Part IX of the Copyright Act 1968 (Commonwealth).